

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JEROME L. GRIMES,

Plaintiff,

v.

KENNETH DAM, et al.,

Defendants.

No. C 14-3023 JSW (PR)

**ORDER OF DISMISSAL AND  
DENYING LEAVE TO  
PROCEED IN FORMA  
PAUPERIS**

---

Plaintiff, an inmate in the San Francisco County Jail and frequent litigator in this Court, has recently filed this pro se civil rights case. On May 18, 2000, this Court informed Plaintiff that under the "three-strikes" provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) he generally is ineligible to proceed *in forma pauperis* in federal court with civil actions filed while he is incarcerated. *See Grimes v. Oakland Police Dept.*, C 00-1100 CW (Order Dismissing Complaint, 5/18/00). Since then, Plaintiff has continued to file hundreds of civil rights actions seeking *in forma pauperis* status. With respect to each action filed, the Court conducts a preliminary review to assess the nature of the allegations and to determine whether Plaintiff alleges facts which bring him within the "imminent danger of serious physical injury" exception to § 1915(g). In the past, Plaintiff has routinely been granted leave to amend to pay the full filing fee and to state cognizable claims for relief, but he has habitually failed to do so. For example, in 2003 alone Plaintiff's failure to comply resulted in the dismissal of approximately thirty-six actions under § 1915(g).


1 In accord with this ongoing practice, the Court has reviewed the allegations in the  
2 present action and finds that Plaintiff alleges no facts which bring him within the  
3 “imminent danger” clause. As in his prior cases, he makes a variety of implausible or  
4 unintelligible allegations, such as “(BART) transbay tube and Washington, D.C. south  
5 and north lawn simultaneous bombings premeditators/inside-jobbers” and “musical chair  
6 car gang rapes kidnappings against citizens and tourists.” Plaintiff has been informed on  
7 numerous occasions that allegations and claims such as these do not establish imminent  
8 danger nor do they state cognizable claims for relief. Therefore, it would be futile to  
9 grant Plaintiff leave to amend or to show cause why § 1915(g) does not apply in this  
10 case.

11 Accordingly, leave to proceed in forma pauperis is DENIED and this case is  
12 DISMISSED without prejudice under § 1915(g). If Plaintiff is so inclined, he may bring  
13 his claims in a new action accompanied by the \$400.00 filing fee. In any event, the  
14 Court will continue to review under § 1915(g) all future actions filed by Plaintiff while  
15 he is incarcerated in which he seeks *in forma pauperis* status.

16 The Clerk of the Court shall close the file and terminate all pending motions.

17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 DATED: August 8, 2014

19   
20 JEFFREY S. WHITE  
21 United States District Judge  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JEROME GRIMES,

Plaintiff,

v.

KENNETH DAM et al,

Defendant.

Case Number: CV14-03023 JSW


**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on August 8, 2014, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Jerome L. Grimes 14669977  
San Francisco County Jail  
1 Moreland Drive  
San Mateo, CA 94066

Dated: August 8, 2014

  
Richard W. Wieking, Clerk  
By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk